

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/750,583	JIANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ERIC C. WAI	2195	

All Participants:

(1) ERIC C. WAI.

(2) Timothy N Trop (Reg No. 28,994).

Date of Interview: 7 May 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 15

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner advised Applicant to amend independent claim 11 with the subject matter of either dependent claims 13 or 14. Examiner also advised Applicant amend independent claims 1 and 15 to match the limitations of proposed claim combination 11+13 or 11+14 as independent claims 1 and 15 make no mention of the use of a semaphore which is a key component of Applicant's invention. No agreement was reached regarding such proposed amendments.